

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upub.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/586,824      | 07/19/2007     | Gregory Faris        | SRI-110/PCT/US      | 8993             |
| Lumen IPS       | 7590 11/02/201 | EXAM                 | IINER               |                  |
| 2345 Yale Str   |                | WESTERBERG, NISSA M  |                     |                  |
| Palo Alto, CA   | . 94306        |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                 |                |                      | 1618                |                  |
|                 |                |                      |                     |                  |
|                 |                |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                 |                |                      | 11/02/2010          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

| Application No.     | Applicant(s)   | Applicant(s) |  |
|---------------------|----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/586,824          | FARIS, GREGORY |              |  |
| Examiner            | Art Unit       |              |  |
| Nissa M. Westerberg | 1618           |              |  |

| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit           |              |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Nissa M. Westerberg   | 1618               |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence ac   | ldress       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  |   |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of them may be available under the provisions of 37 CFt 1/3(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication.  If NO profit or reply is specified above, the reasonism statisticity period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication.  If NO profit or reply is specified above, the reasonism statisticity period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication is allowed by the complex of the communication will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication become AdMONSHED (85 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any examed patter term adjustments. See 37 CFt 1/3(19). |   |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |   |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| - · · · · · · -   | action is non-final.  |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar   | ice except for formal matters, pro  | secution as to the | merits is    |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.       |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.   |   |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray   | vn from consideration.  |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |   |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or e  | election requirement.   |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  | r.  |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce   |   | Examiner.          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   |   |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    | FR 1.121(d). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | (4) (6)            |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·— •  | 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |   |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.   |   |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol>  |   |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  |   | ıd                 |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| dec the attached detailed Office action of a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | <li>4) Interview Summary<br/>Paper No(s)/Mail Da</li>   |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S8/08)   | 5) Notice of Informal P   |                    |              |  |  |  |  |  |

| Attachment(s)  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)                            |  |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date                                     |  |
| 3) Information Disclesure Statement(s) (FTO/SB/08)       | <ol> <li>Notice of Informal Patent Application</li> </ol> |  |
| Paper No/s)/Mail Date                                    | 6) Other:   |  |

Art Unit: 1618

## DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

#### REQUIREMENT FOR UNITY OF INVENTION

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

Art Unit: 1618

# WHEN CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO MULTIPLE CATEGORIES OF INVENTIONS

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
  - (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,824

Art Unit: 1618

Group I, claim(s) 1 - 9, drawn to a method of imaging a region of interest by acquiring images; introducing varying level of inspiratory contrast agents that stimulate vascular changes in the region of interest and obtaining optical measurements of oxy- and deoxy-hemoglobin during the introduction of the contrast agents.

Group II, claim(s) 10, drawn to a system configured to implement the method of group I.

Group III, claim(s) 11 - 13, drawn to a noninvasive method of detecting cancer by acquiring images of a region interest before and during inhalation of varying levels of oxygen and carbon dioxide to stimulate vascular changes that result in a dramatic increase in contrast between cancerous and noncancerous tissue.

Group IV, claim(s) 14, drawn to an imaging system comprising a means for administering vasoactive agents, a near infrared light source, an image acquisition manes for acquiring images and a process means for analyzing said images.

3. The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Groups I - IV lack unity of invention because the groups do not share the same or corresponding technical feature. Group I requires the use of inspiratory contrast agent to obtain optical measurements of oxy- and deoxy-hemoglobin in a region of interested being optically imaged. In contrast, group III requires oxygen and carbon dioxide as a vasoactive agent that causes dramatically increased contrast between cancerous and non-cancerous tissues in a method of detecting cancerous tumors in vivo. The systems (groups II and IV) are different in that the required elements of the system of claim 14 are not the same as those required by claim 10, such as a particular wavelength of light source is required in claim 14 but no such limitations are present in the system of claim 10.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does

Application/Control Number: 10/586,824

Art Unit: 1618

not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof. Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

#### Joint Inventorship

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1618

## Rejoinder Notice

5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.
Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.
All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1618

### Conclusion

 A telephone call was made to Miriam Kaplan on November 1, 2010 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nissa M. Westerberg whose telephone number is (571)270-3532. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F, 8:00 a.m. - 4 p.m. ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1618

/Nissa M Westerberg/ Examiner, Art Unit 1618